The emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and decrease in the discovery rate of novel antibiotics takes mankind back to the ‘pre-antibiotic era’ and search for alternative treatments. Bacteriophages have been one of promising alternative agents which can be utilised for medicinal and biological control purposes in agriculture and related fields. The idea to treat bacterial infections with phages came out of the pioneering work of Félix d’Hérelle but this was overshadowed by the success of antibiotics. Recent renewed interest in phage therapy is dictated by its advantages most importantly by their specificity against the bacterial targets. This prevents complications such as antibiotic-induced dysbiosis and secondary infections. This article is compiled by the participants of the Expert Round Table conference ‘Bacteriophages as tools for therapy, prophylaxis and diagnostics’ (19–21 October 2015) at the Eliava Institute of Bacteriophage, Microbiology and Virology, Tbilisi, Georgia. The first paper from the Round Table is the Expert Round Table Participants:
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Table was published in the Biotechnology Journal. This In Focus article expands from this paper and includes recent developments reported since then by the Expert Round Table participants, including the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol for the applications of bacteriophages.

Antimicrobials are one of the most successful forms of therapy but their broad and often indiscriminate use resulted in a widespread antimicrobial resistance. The annual death toll due to multidrug-resistant bacterial infections is estimated at 23 000 in the US and 25 000 in Europe. Complementary strategies are urgently needed, and bacteriophage therapy offers:

- Specificity, and target-directed removal of pathogens via narrow spectrum, which do not affect beneficial commensals;
- Multiplication at infection sites, thus amplifying the local antimicrobial effects;
- Minimum, if any, side-effects;
- Resistance can be managed by introduction of new bacteriophages, which is faster and cheaper compared to new antibiotics;
- Bacteriophages are active against multidrug-resistant and biofilm-forming bacteria;
- Lytic bacteriophages may limit the evolution and spread of antimicrobial resistance;
- Bacteriophages act in synergy with antibiotics;
- Phage CRISPR-Cas systems provide a new way to target antibiotic-resistant pathogens.

Bacteriophage therapy was pioneered at the Eliava Institute in Tbilisi, Georgia (Figure 1), and the reader is referred to the Historical Review article by Chanishvili and Sharp (2008) published in Microbiology Australia.

Therapeutic application of bacteriophages and resistance: the case of Phagoburn

Large burn wounds lead to immunosuppression, making burn patients susceptible to infections. Although medical advances have resulted in increased survival of burn victims, most deaths are due to the wound sepsis or sepsis secondary to pneumonia. Animal studies showed that bacteriophages could rescue mice and guinea pigs with infected burn wounds or bacteraemia. Ongoing studies conducted following standard clinical trial guidelines and practices by ‘Phagoburn’ (www.phagoburn.eu) will contribute towards generation of clinical level information related to the applications of phages. This phase I/II multi-centre, randomised, controlled and single-blinded clinical trial involves 15 burn units in France, Switzerland and Belgium and targets burn wounds infected by Escherichia coli or Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Manufacturing the investigational products that comply Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) took 20 months and encountered poly-infection issues hampered the recruitment of patients. However, the Phagoburn study has established new phage manufacturing approach that will encourage regulators to review their policies related to phage therapy.

Antagonistic bacterium-phage co-evolution is a dynamic process in which phage-resistant bacteria and infective bacteriophages are selected in turn. While emergence of bacteria resistant against challenging bacteriophages is a part of this coevolution, it could be problematic in therapy and it should be prevented. Interestingly, while phage-resistant P. aeruginosa were readily selected in vitro when challenged by the anti-P. aeruginosa phages used in Phagoburn, such selection was not observed in a rat model of experimental endocarditis. Accordingly, two resistant variants recovered in vitro showed >70% and >40% decreased infectivity, explaining the failure to recover them from in vivo biopsies. These variants had lost lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and impaired pili, respectively, both structures being known as phage receptors. This study illustrated that phage resistance can emerge at a very high cost in terms of virulence, possibly leading to in vivo survival for the bacterium. This observation, which is not new, has clinical relevance and the phage resistance should be carefully evaluated in future clinical trials.

Bacteriophages for food hygiene and safety and environmental applications

Bacteriophages have been used since the 1980s to control and eliminate bacterial contaminants from food surfaces, food-borne spoilage bacteria and bacteria causing gastrointestinal diseases as well as to decontaminate raw food. Due to their specificity, bacteriophages are attractive for sanitisation of ready-to-eat foods (RTE) such as milk, vegetables and meat products. In 2007, the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) approved bacteriophage products targeting Salmonella species and E. coli O157:H7. They are

Figure 1. Bacteriophage medicine sold to patients at the Eliava Institute’s Pharmacy.
designed as spray sanitisers to disinfect cattle hides prior to slaughter to reduce pathogen contamination of meat. In parallel, the commercial product Agriphage was developed to control black spot disease on tomato and pepper plants caused by Xanthomonas campestris and Pseudomonas syringae.

Similarly, bacteriophages are also potentially useful as surface and environment decontaminants. Listeria phages (3.5 × 10^6 PFU/mL), for instance, were as effective as a 20-ppm solution of a quaternary ammonium compound (QAC) disinfectant for stainless steel decontamination. Interestingly, synergism between different bacteriophages and phages-QAC was reported with bacteriophages being unaffected by QAC at 50 ppm and up to 4 hours of contact time.

**Agricultural applications of bacteriophages**

Bacteriophage effects on target pathogens depend on the ecological and environmental context such as abiotic environmental factors or surrounding microbial community. For example, phage-mediated killing of pathogenic bacteria can be amplified in the presence of non-pathogenic bacteria that impose strong resource competition with the pathogen. More recently, it was shown that the presence of antimicrobial producing Bacillus amyloliquefaciens could shape the effect of bacteriophage selection on the plant pathogen Ralstonia solanacearum. In this case, the effect was driven by evolutionary trade-off where evolving resistance to a phage led to increased susceptibility to antimicrobials produced by B. amyloliquefaciens. Similar evolutionary trade-offs can also lead to lowered expression of multiple important R. solanacearum virulence factors and reduced virulence in tomato in vivo. Identifying bacteriophages that impair pathogen virulence by binding to various surface structures (flagella, pili and LPS), could be important for selecting therapeutic bacteriophages.

When applied topically or orally to animals, bacteriophages will eventually become associated with the skin and wool/hair of animals. Thus, bacteriophages specific for animal pathogens could be isolated from wool. These bacteriophages can reduce the number of bacteria associated with ‘clumping’, and thus represent an option for agricultural practices as opposed to antibiotics. Similarly, bacteriophages have been recovered from the skin of healthy humans or when they were successfully incorporated into fibers used for human clothing.

**Current hurdles and regulatory status of bacteriophages**

Bacteriophages are not currently classified in medicinal legislation, since they are neither living nor chemical agents. Therefore, it is complicated to regulate and perform clinical trials and commercialisation. To ensure the efficiency of phage preparations, their effectiveness and host range towards currently circulating pathogenic strains must be monitored. This might explain why the phage preparations approved in the Russian Federation and Georgia are not static but are continuously updated to target newly emerging pathogenic strains. Legislation to allow these updates is necessary to circumvent repeated registration procedures.

On 5 July 2016, the Belgian Minister of Social Affairs and Public Health has formally acknowledged that it is difficult to define the status of therapeutic phage preparations: should they be considered as industrially-prepared medicinal products (subjected to constraints related to marketing authorisation) or as magistral preparations (prepared in pharmacies’ officina)? Magistral preparations (compounded prescription drug products in the US) are made by a pharmacist from the constituent ingredients to meet specific patient needs. On 26 October 2016, it was formally agreed that natural bacteriophages and their products, which are not fully compliant with the European Directive requirements for medicinal products for human use and for which there is no monograph in an official pharmacopoeia, can be processed by a pharmacist as raw materials (active ingredients) in magistral preparations, providing compliance to several logical provisions.

**Bacteriophage application in the Access and Benefit Sharing (ABS) context: the Nagoya Protocol**

To combat antibiotic resistances, there is urgent need to build up large phage collections against the pathogens like ES KAPE (Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, P. aeruginosa and Enterobactericeae). However, culture collections holding and offering quality-checked authenticated bacteriophages in the sense of phage banks are confronted with two constraints. First, there are no requirements for authors by journals to deposit bacteriophages with public repositories before publishing, which differs from agreed procedures for their bacterial hosts. The second issue that should be considered is the current development of rules for legal handling of bioresources that of course includes the bacteriophages. On 12 October 2014, the Nagoya Protocol has been implemented in several countries that ratified the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). These laws deal with sampling, the accession and distribution of all genetic resources including microorganisms regarding the ABS. One of the reasons for the ratification of the protocol is protecting biodiversity under national sovereignty to prevent
Conclusions and future perspectives

As already stated by Skurnik and Strauch (2006) a decade ago, the therapeutic use of bacteriophages, possibly combined with antibiotics, is a promising therapy option. Safe and controlled use of bacteriophage therapy will however, require as detailed information as possible on the properties and behaviour of specific phage-bacterium systems, in vitro and especially in vivo. Susceptibility of bacterial pathogens in vitro to bacteriophages is still not completely understood and requires dedicated (pre-)clinical research on more phage-bacterium systems. The requirements for quality and safety in bacteriophage production and application have been defined and communicated.

Natural resources will need to be utilised further to isolate many more bacteriophages to build-up large phage collections to fight the antibiotic crisis. These efforts will then be translated into cooperation across borders and continents that will be regulated by the Nagoya Protocol to some extent. Therefore, facilitative regulations governing therapeutic use of bacteriophages should be implemented to counter antibiotic resistance on a global scale. Bacteriophage application obviously has significant potential to bridge human and veterinary medicine and bring effective solutions to antibiotic resistance problems as pointed out in this article.
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